

FUZZY SUB- F-POLYGROUPS

A. Hasankhani , M.M. Zahedi

چکیده: در این مقاله مفاهیم زیر F -پلی گروه فازی و روابط هم‌ارزی فازی یک F -پلی گروه تعریف شده‌اند و قضایایی اثبات گردیده‌اند.

Abstrace: The concepts of scalars, fuzzy sub-F-polygroups and fuzzy congruence relations of an F-polygroup are defined and some theorems are proved.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Kerman University, Kerman, IRAN,
Email: Zahedi@math.Kerman u.ac.ir., Fax +98-341-263244

1. PRELIMINARIES

A fuzzy subset [3] of a non-empty set A is a function from A to $[0, 1]$. Throughout this paper I is the unit interval $[0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and I^A is the set of all fuzzy subsets of A . If $\mu \in I^A$, then by $\text{supp}(\mu)$ we mean the set $\{x \in A \mid \mu(x) \neq 0\}$. Let $\mu, \eta \in I^A$. Then $\mu \leq \eta$ iff $\mu(x) \leq \eta(x)$, For all $x \in A$.

Definition 1.1. Let μ, η and $\mu_\alpha \in I^A$ where α is in the index set Λ . We define the fuzzy subsets $\mu \cap \eta, \mu \cup \eta, \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha$ and $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha$

as follows:

- (i) $(\mu \cap \eta)(x) = \min\{\mu(x), \eta(x)\}$,
- (ii) $(\mu \cup \eta)(x) = \max\{\mu(x), \eta(x)\}$,
- (iii) $(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha)(x) = \inf_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha(x)$,
- (iv) $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha)(x) = \sup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha(x)$, for all $x \in A$.

Definition 1.2. Let $a \in A, t \in I$. They by a fuzzy point a_t of A we mean the fuzzy subset of A given as:

$$a_t(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x = a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.3 [5] Let $A \neq \emptyset$ and $I_*^A = I^A \setminus \{\mathbf{o}\}$, where \mathbf{o} is the function which is identically 0. Then

(i) by an F-hyperoperation $*$ on A we mean a function from $A \times A$ to I_*^A ; in other words for any $a, b \in A, a * b$ is a non-empty fuzzy subset of A .

(ii) if $\mu, \eta \in I_*^A$, then $\mu * \eta \in I_*^A$ is defined by:

$$\mu * \eta = \bigcup_{x \in \text{supp}(\mu), y \in \text{supp}(\eta)} x * y.$$

Notation 1.4. Let $\mu \in I_*^A, B \& C \in P^*(A)$ and $a \in A$. Then

- (i) $a * \mu$ and $\mu * a$ denote $\chi_{\{a\}} * \mu$ and $\mu * \chi_{\{a\}}$ respectively,
- (ii) $a * B, B * a, \mu * B, B * \mu$ and $B * C$ denote $\chi_{\{a\}} * \chi_B, \chi_B * \chi_{\{a\}}, \mu * \chi_B, \chi_B * \mu$ and $\chi_B * \chi_C$ respectively.

Definition 1.5 [5]. Let \mathcal{F} be a non-empty set and “ $*$ ” an F -hyperoperation on \mathcal{F} . Then $(\mathcal{F}, *)$ is called an F -polygroup iff

$$(i) (x * y) * z = x * (y * z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{F},$$

(ii) there exists an element $e_F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $x \in \text{supp}(x * e_F \cap e_F * x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{F}$ (In this case we say e_F is an F -identity element of \mathcal{F} .),

(iii) for each $x \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a unique element $x' \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $e_F \in \text{supp}(x * x' \cap x' * x)$, (x' is called the F -inverse of x and is denoted by x_F^{-1} .),

(iv) $z \in \text{supp}(x * y) \Rightarrow x \in \text{supp}(z * y^{-1}) \Rightarrow y \in \text{supp}(x^{-1} * z)$, $\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{F}$. (This property is called the F -reversibility of \mathcal{F} with respect to $*$.)

When there is no ambiguity, for simplicity of notation we use e and x^{-1} instead of e_F and x_F^{-1} respectively.

If \mathcal{F} is an F -polygroup and $x * y = y * x$, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{F}$, then \mathcal{F} is said to be Abelian.

Henceforth \mathcal{F} will denote an F -polygroup with hyperoperation “ $*$ ” and e will denote the F -identity of \mathcal{F} .

Definition 1.6 [5]. Let $\emptyset \neq H \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Then H is called an F -subpolygroup iff

$$(i) \text{ if } x \in H, \text{ then } x^{-1} \in H;$$

$$(ii) \text{supp}(x * y) \subseteq H, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

In this case we write: $H <_{F-P} \mathcal{F}$.

Note that condition (ii) of the above Definition is equivalent to $x * y \leq \chi_H$, $\forall x, y \in H$.

Lemma 1.7 [5]. Let $\emptyset \neq H \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Then $H <_{F-P} \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\text{supp}(x * y^{-1}) \subseteq H$, $\forall x, y \in H$.

Definition 1.8 [5]. Let $H <_{F-P} \mathcal{F}$. Then

(i) H is said to be weak normal in $\mathcal{F}(H \triangleleft_{F-P}^w \mathcal{F})$ iff $x * H * x^{-1} \leq \chi_H$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{F}$,

(ii) H is said to be normal in $\mathcal{F}(H \triangleleft_{F-P} \mathcal{F})$ iff $x * H * x^{-1} = \chi_H$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{F}$.

Notation: Let $H \triangleleft_{F-P} \mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{F}/H = \{x * H : x \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Theorem 1.9 [6]. Let $H \triangleleft_{F-P} \mathcal{F}$. Define the F -hyperoperation " \square " on \mathcal{F}/H as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \square : \mathcal{F}/H \times \mathcal{F}/H &\longrightarrow I_{*}^{\mathcal{F}/H} \\ (x * H, y * H) &\longmapsto x * H \square y * H \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(x * H \square y * H)(z * H) = (x * y * H)(z), \quad \forall z * H \in \mathcal{F}/H.$$

Then $(\mathcal{F}/H, \square)$ is an F -polygroup called the quotient F -polygroup.

Definition 1.10. A fuzzy binary relation R on a set X (i.e. $R \in I^{X \times X}$) is said to be a fuzzy similarity relation if it satisfies for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (S1) reflexivity: $R(x, x) = 1$;
- (S2) symmetry: $R(x, y) = R(y, x)$;
- (S3) transitivity: $\min\{R(x, y), R(y, z)\} \leq R(x, z)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1. Let $\mu \in I^{\mathcal{F}}$. Then μ is a fuzzy sub- F -polygroup of \mathcal{F} iff

- (i) $\mu(z) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}, \forall z \in \text{supp}(x * y), \forall x, y \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (ii) $\mu(x^{-1}) \geq \mu(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{F}$.

Note that this definition is a generalization of Definition 4.1 of [4]. Clearly (ii) implies that $\mu(x^{-1}) = \mu(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{F}$ and (i) implies that $\mu(e) \geq \mu(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.2. Let ξ be a binary fuzzy relation between two F -polygroups $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$ (i.e. $\xi \in I^{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}'}$). Then ξ is called an FP-relation iff:

- (i) $(e, e') \in \text{supp}(\xi)$, where e and e' are the identity elements of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' respectively;

- (ii) $\xi(a, b) \leq \xi(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) \forall a, b \in \mathcal{F}$,
 (iii) $\min\{\xi(a, b), \xi(c, d)\} \leq \xi(x, y), \forall x \in \text{supp}(a * c), y \in \text{supp}(b * d)$, for all $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}'$.

Clearly (ii) implies that $\xi(a, b) = \xi(a^{-1}, b^{-1}), \forall a, b \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem. 2.3. If ξ is an FP-relation between $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$ and if $K <_{F-P} \mathcal{F}'$, then the subset H of \mathcal{F} which is defined as follows:

$$H = \{x \in \mathcal{F} : (x, y) \in \text{supp}(\xi), \text{ for some } y \in K\}$$

is an F-subpolygroup of \mathcal{F} .

Proof. Since $(e, e') \in \text{supp}(\xi)$, so $e \in H$. Now let $x \in H$. Then there is $y \in K$ such that $\xi(x, y) > 0$. Since $\xi(x, y) \leq \xi(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ and $y^{-1} \in K$, we get $x^{-1} \in H$. At present let $x_1, x_2 \in H$ and $t \in \text{supp}(x_1 * x_2)$. Thus $(x_1, y_1) \& (x_2, y_2) \in \text{supp}(\xi)$, for some $y_1, y_2 \in K$. Thus

$$0 < \min\{\xi(x_1, y_1), \xi(x_2, y_2)\} \leq \xi(t, w)$$

where $w \in \text{supp}(y_1 * y_2) \subseteq K$. Hence $t \in H$.

Theorem 2.4. If ξ is a reflexive FP-relation on an F-polygroup \mathcal{F} , then ξ is a fuzzy similarity relation on \mathcal{F} .

Proof. First we prove the condition S_2 of Definition 1.10. Let $(a, b) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi(a, b) \\ &= \min\{\xi(a, b), \xi(b^{-1}, b^{-1})\}, \text{ by reflexivity of } \xi \\ &\leq \xi(t, e), \forall t \in \text{supp}(a * b^{-1}), \text{ since } e \in \text{supp}(b * b^{-1}) \\ &\leq \xi(t^{-1}, e), \forall t \in \text{supp}(a * b^{-1}) \\ &= \min\{\xi(t^{-1}, e), \xi(a, a)\}, \text{ by reflexivity of } \xi \\ &\leq \xi(b, a), \text{ since } b \in \text{supp}(t^{-1} * a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\xi(b, a) \leq \xi(a, b)$. Hence ξ is symmetric. Now we prove the condition S_3 of Definition 1.10. For any $a, b, d \in \mathcal{F}$ we

have:

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\xi(a, b), \xi(b, d)\} &\leq \xi(b, d) \\ &= \min\{\xi(b, d), \xi(b^{-1}, b^{-1})\} \\ &\leq \xi(e, w), \quad \forall w \in \text{supp}(d * b^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since, $\min\{\xi(a, b), \xi(b, d)\} \leq \xi(a, b)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\xi(a, b), \xi(b, d)\} &\leq \min\{\xi(e, w), \xi(a, b)\} \\ &\leq \xi(a, d), \text{ since } d \in \text{supp}(w * b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus ξ is transitive.

Definition 2.5. An FP-relation on an F-polygroup \mathcal{F} which is also a fuzzy similarity relation is called a fuzzy congruence relation on \mathcal{F} .

Definition 2.6. Let ξ be a fuzzy congruence relation on \mathcal{F} , then the fuzzy subset $\xi \langle e \rangle$ of \mathcal{F} is defined by $\xi \langle e \rangle (x) = \xi(e, x)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 2.7. If ξ is a fuzzy congruence relation on an F-polygroup \mathcal{F} , then the fuzzy subset $\xi \langle e \rangle$ is a fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} .

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $\min\{\xi \langle e \rangle (x), \xi \langle e \rangle (y)\} = \min\{\xi(e, x), \xi(e, y)\} \leq \xi(e, z)$, $\forall z \in \text{supp}(x * y)$.

Also we have $\xi \langle e \rangle (x) = \xi(e, x) \leq \xi(e, x^{-1}) = \xi \langle e \rangle (x^{-1})$. Therefore $\xi \langle e \rangle$ is a fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} .

Definition 2.8. Let μ be a fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} . Then μ is said to be normal iff for all $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\mu(z) = \mu(z'), \quad \forall z \in \text{supp}(x * y), \quad \forall z' \in \text{supp}(y * x).$$

Note that the above definition generalizes Definition 2.3 of [4].

Remark 2.9. It is obvious that if μ is a normal fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} , then $\mu(z) = \mu(z'), \forall z, z' \in \text{supp}(x * y), \forall x, y \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 2.10. Let μ be a fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} . Then the following condition are equivalent:

- (i) μ is normal.
- (ii) For all $x, y \in \mathcal{F}, \mu(z) = \mu(y), \forall z \in \text{supp}(x * y * x^{-1})$
- (iii) For all $x, y \in \mathcal{F}, \mu(z) \geq \mu(y), \forall z \in \text{supp}(x * y * x^{-1})$
- (iv) For all $x, y \in \mathcal{F}, \mu(z) = \mu(y), \forall z \in \text{supp}(x^{-1} * y^{-1} * x * y)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.5 of [4].

Corollary 2.11. If ξ is a fuzzy congruence relation on \mathcal{F} , then $\xi \langle e \rangle \in I^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a normal fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} .

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, $\xi \langle e \rangle$ is a fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} . Now let $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$ and $z \in \text{supp}(x * y * x^{-1})$. Then $z \in \text{supp}(t * x^{-1})$, for some $t \in \text{supp}(x * y)$. Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \langle e \rangle (z) &= \xi(e, z) \\ &\geq \min\{\xi(x, t), \xi(x^{-1}, x^{-1})\}, \\ &= \xi(x, t), \text{ since } \xi \text{ is reflexive} \\ &\geq \min\{\xi(x, x), \xi(e, y)\}, \text{ since } t \in \text{supp}(x * y) \\ &= \xi(e, y), \text{ since } \xi \text{ is reflexive} \\ &= \xi \langle e \rangle (y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.10 (iii), $\xi \langle e \rangle$ is normal.

Corollary 2.12. $H \triangleleft_{F-P}^w \mathcal{F}$ if and only if χ_H is a normal fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} .

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 2.1, Theorem 2.10 (iii).

Corollary 2.13. Let $H \triangleleft_{F-P} \mathcal{F}$. Then $x * H * x^{-1}$ is a normal fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} , for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. It is obvious.

Remark 2.14. It is well-known that in the fuzzy group theory, every fuzzy subgroup of an Abelian group is normal. But the following example shows that this is not true in the case of F-polygroups. At first we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.15 [6]. Let (A, o) be a polygroup [1]. Then $(A, *)$ is an F-polygroup where $x * y = \chi_{xoy}, \forall x, y \in A$.

Example 2.16. If $(H = \{e, a, b, c\}, \cdot)$ is Klein's four-group, then (H, o) is a polygroup (see [2]) where the hyperoperation "o" is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}xoy &= \{x, y, x.y\}, \text{ if } x \neq y^{-1}, \quad x, y \neq e, \\xox^{-1} &= x^{-1}ox = H, \text{ if } x \neq e, \\xoe &= eox = \{x\} \text{ for every } x \in H.\end{aligned}$$

Now let "*" be the F-hyperoperation induced by "o" (i.e. $x * y = \chi_{xoy}, \forall x, y \in H$). Then by Theorem 2.15 $(H, *)$ is an F-polygroup. Let $\beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ such that $\beta < \gamma$. Define a fuzzy subset μ of H as follows:

$$\mu(a) = \mu(b) = \mu(c) = \beta, \mu(e) = \gamma.$$

Then it is easy to see that μ is a fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of $(H, *)$. But since $e \in \text{supp}(a * a)$, $a \in \text{supp}(a * a)$ and $\mu(e) \neq \mu(a)$, we get μ is not normal.

Theorem 2.17. Let \mathcal{F} be an Abelian F-polygroup and μ a normal fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} such that $\mu(e) = 1$. Define $\xi \in I^{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}}$ as follows:

$$\xi(x, y) = \mu(z), \text{ for some arbitrary element } z \in \text{supp}(x * y^{-1})$$

Then ξ is a fuzzy congruence relation and $\mu = \xi < e >$.

Proof. By Remark 2.9, ξ is well-defined. Clearly $\xi(e, e) > 0$. Now for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ we have:

$$\xi(x, y) = \mu(w), \quad w \in \text{supp}(x * y^{-1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mu(w^{-1}), w^{-1} \in \text{supp}(y * x^{-1}) \\
&= \text{supp}(x^{-1} * y), \text{ by commutativity of } * \\
&= \xi(x^{-1}, y^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\min\{\xi(a_1, b_1), \xi(a_2, b_2)\} &\leq \xi(x, y), \forall x \in \text{supp}(a_1 * a_2) \\
&\quad, \forall y \in \text{supp}(b_1 * b_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in \text{supp}(a_1 * a_2)$, $y \in \text{supp}(b_1 * b_2)$ and $t \in \text{supp}(x * y^{-1})$ be arbitrary. Then we have: $t \in \text{supp}(x * y^{-1}) \subseteq \text{supp}(a_1 * b_1^{-1} * a_2 * b_2^{-1})$. Thus $t \in \text{supp}(s * w)$, for some $s \in \text{supp}(a_1 * b_1^{-1})$ and $w \in \text{supp}(a_2 * b_2^{-1})$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(x, y) &= \mu(t), \text{ by definition of } \xi \\
&\geq \min\{\mu(s), \mu(w)\}, \text{ since } \mu \text{ is a fuzzy sub-F-polygroup} \\
&= \min\{\xi(a_1, b_1), \xi(a_2, b_2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently ξ is an FP-relation. Since $\xi(x, x) = \mu(e) = 1$, then ξ is reflexive. Hence by Theorem 2.4 and Definition 2.5, ξ is a fuzzy congruence relation.

Since $\mu(x) = \mu(x^{-1}), \forall x \in \mathcal{F}$, we get that $\mu = \xi \langle e \rangle$.

Corollary 2.18. Let μ be a fuzzy subset of an Abelian F-polygroup, \mathcal{F} . Then μ is a normal fuzzy sub-F-polygroup of \mathcal{F} and $\mu(e) = 1$ if and only if there exists a congruence relation ξ on \mathcal{F} such that $\mu = \xi \langle e \rangle$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.17, and Corollary 2.11.

References

1. P. Corsini, Prolegomena of hypergroup theory, Aviani Editore, (1973).
2. Ch.G. Massouros, Quasicanonical hypergroups, Fourth Int. Congress on AHA (World Scientific 1990) 129-136.

3. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and control, 8(1965) 353-388.
4. M.M. Zahedi, M.Bolurian and A.Hasankhani, On Polygroups and Fuzzy subpolygroups, J. Fuzzy Math. Vol. 3 No. 1 (1995) 1-15.
5. M.M. Zahedi, A. Hasankhani, F-polygroups (I), J. Fuzzy Math. (to appear).
6. M.M. Zahedi, A. Hasankhani, F-polygroups (II), Inform. Sci. (to appear).