Science & Philosophy Volume 14(1), 2026

From Noise to Information: A Thermodynamic Epistemology of Brandolini's Asymmetry

Carlos Riveros Berger.*

Abstract

Brandolini's Law states that refuting nonsense requires far more effort than producing it, yet it has remained largely metaphorical. This paper develops a thermodynamic-informational framework for this asymmetry by modeling misinformation as noise, refutation as entropy reduction, and parsimony as free energy minimization. The resulting generalized Brandolini principle links the work of refutation to the reduction of the hypothesis space, scaled by informational temperature. The paper further extends the model to networks of interacting claims, showing how circular reinforcement among false statements increases systemic epistemic cost, especially in low-mobility environments such as echo chambers and niche pseudoscientific communities. The framework suggests that Brandolini's Law and Occam's Razor are not independent heuristics but complementary expressions of informational dynamics: parsimony defines low free energy epistemic states, whereas Brandolini's asymmetry describes the cost of restoring them after noise has expanded the hypothesis space.

Keywords: Brandolini's Law; misinformation; entropy; free energy; parsimony; epistemology.†

---

* Ph.D. Student in Materials Science and Engineering, University of Santiago, Santiago, Chile; E-mail: carlos.riverosb@usach.cl.
† Received on September 27, 2025. Accepted on May 21, 2026. Published on June 30, 2026.
DOI: 10.23756/sp.v14i1.1713. ISSN 2282-7757; eISSN 2282-7765.

1. Introduction

The contemporary epistemic landscape is marked by a striking asymmetry between the ease of producing misinformation and the difficulty of refuting it. This imbalance has been popularized as Brandolini's Law, or the "Bullshit Asymmetry Principle," which states that the amount of energy required to refute nonsense is an order of magnitude greater than that needed to produce it. While the observation is intuitively compelling and resonates with everyday experience—from social media debates to public controversies in science—its conceptual underpinnings remain largely metaphorical. The law is typically invoked as a rhetorical principle rather than a theoretical framework. Yet, if this asymmetry is pervasive, it should admit formalization in terms of the fundamental laws of information and knowledge production.

Parallel to this, the principle of parsimony, often expressed through Occam's Razor, has long served as a guiding heuristic in science and philosophy: among competing hypotheses, the simplest explanation consistent with the evidence should be preferred. Parsimony is not merely an aesthetic preference but a pragmatic rule that reflects the cognitive and social economy of inquiry. Scientific practice advances most efficiently when it avoids unnecessary complexity and restricts itself to models that minimize redundancy (Frigg & Nguyen, 2020). In this sense, Brandolini's asymmetry and Occam's Razor describe two sides of the same epistemic coin: one highlights the penalty of abandoning parsimony by generating informational noise, while the other highlights the benefits of minimizing explanatory overhead.

Recent developments in the philosophy of information and cognitive science provide tools for bridging these ideas. Floridi (2011) has argued that information should be treated as a fundamental building block of reality, and that epistemology itself can be reinterpreted in informational terms. In parallel, thermodynamics of computation, pioneered by Landauer (1961), has shown that processing and erasing information carries an irreducible physical cost, measurable as a minimum dissipation of energy. These insights suggest that epistemic processes—whether generating, filtering, or validating information—can be fruitfully described within a thermodynamic framework.

From this perspective, misinformation can be described as informational noise: it inflates the number of possible states or hypotheses (Ω_{noise}) without necessarily constraining them toward truth. In contrast, refutation corresponds to the production of information: it reduces the multiplicity of possible states to a smaller, more ordered set ($\Omega_{\text{info}} \ll \Omega_{\text{noise}}$).The entropy difference between these two states implies a cost in free energy:

$$\Delta F = kT\ln\left(\frac{\Omega_{\text{noise}}}{\Omega_{\text{info}}}\right)$$

This expression, formally analogous to Landauer's principle, quantifies Brandolini's asymmetry: to recover information from noise requires work proportional to the reduction in accessible states. The generalized Brandolini principle thus emerges as a consequence of thermodynamic constraints on informational systems.

The problem, however, is not merely one of individual cognition. As numerous studies of the "post-truth" era emphasize (Lewandowsky, Ecker, & Cook, 2017; Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017), misinformation is often amplified by the architecture of digital platforms and by the dynamics of collective attention. High-mobility environments, such as global social networks, correspond to high "informational temperatures" (T): in these contexts, false claims may spread rapidly, but so can their corrections, leading to relatively efficient fact-checking. By contrast, in low-mobility environments—niche communities, pseudoscientific subcultures, or insulated epistemic bubbles—the effective informational temperature is low. Here, corrections struggle to propagate, and misinformation persists disproportionately longer, exacerbating Brandolini's asymmetry. These dynamics resonate with empirical studies of online echo chambers (Bessi & Ferrara, 2016) and with philosophical accounts of epistemic injustice and hermetic knowledge structures.

The present paper develops this perspective by offering a formal model that unifies Brandolini's asymmetry with the principle of parsimony under the umbrella of free energy minimization. We first introduce the theoretical framework that connects noise, information, entropy, and informational temperature. We then propose a model of interacting news items, analogous to interacting particles in statistical mechanics, in which falsehoods can form clusters that reinforce one another. In this model, two false claims that cite each other do not reduce the system's energy but instead raise it, reflecting the epistemic penalty of circular support. Finally, we show how the principle of parsimony emerges naturally as the minimization of free energy, and how Brandolini's asymmetry can be understood as the energetic cost of restoring parsimony after it has been disrupted by noise.

By grounding Brandolini's law in thermodynamic and informational principles, this paper aims to transform a rhetorical observation into a general epistemic framework. Such a framework not only clarifies why misinformation is harder to refute than to generate but also provides tools for understanding why certain types of misinformation—particularly those in low-mobility epistemic niches—are more resilient than others. The implications extend beyond abstract epistemology: they inform practical debates on fact-checking, the governance of digital platforms, and the role of parsimony in the production and validation of knowledge.

2. Background and Related Work

2.1 Brandolini's Law and epistemic asymmetry

Brandolini's Law, also known as the "Bullshit Asymmetry Principle," has gained visibility in public discourse as a heuristic observation: the energy needed to refute nonsense is an order of magnitude greater than that required to produce it. While frequently cited in discussions of misinformation, political rhetoric, and public debates, the principle remains largely informal. It has rarely been subjected to systematic philosophical or mathematical analysis. Its appeal lies in articulating an asymmetry that resonates with daily experience: unsubstantiated claims can be produced cheaply, but countering them requires evidence, verification, and time. The absence of a rigorous theoretical framework, however, has limited its integration into broader epistemological debates.

2.2 Parsimony and the principle of economy

The principle of parsimony—often attributed to William of Ockham's famous injunction not to multiply entities without necessity—has long guided scientific reasoning. Parsimony functions as a normative criterion: when confronted with multiple explanations, the simpler one, consistent with the data, is to be preferred. Beyond heuristic appeal, parsimony can be interpreted as a strategy for minimizing cognitive, social, and informational costs. By restricting the hypothesis space, parsimony reduces entropy, focusing resources on models that maximize explanatory power while minimizing redundancy (Frigg & Nguyen, 2020). In this sense, parsimony is not opposed to Brandolini's law but complements it: while Occam's Razor prescribes efficiency in knowledge production, Brandolini's law highlights the costs of inefficiency once the epistemic economy is disrupted.

2.3 Philosophy of information and the ontology of data

Luciano Floridi's philosophy of information has provided a conceptual foundation for treating information as a primary ontological category (Floridi, 2011). On this view, epistemology and metaphysics can be reframed in informational terms: knowledge production is the structured manipulation of data, and truth corresponds to the alignment of information with reality. This informational turn offers fertile ground for analyzing misinformation and epistemic asymmetry. Rather than treating falsehoods merely as cognitive errors or rhetorical devices, they can be modeled as informational states—specifically, as high-entropy states that enlarge the set of possible descriptions without converging toward truth.

2.4 Thermodynamics of computation and Landauer's principle

In parallel, research in the thermodynamics of computation has revealed that information processing entails irreducible physical costs. Landauer (1961) famously showed that erasing one bit of information dissipates at least $kT\ln(2)$ of heat. This principle anchors information theory in thermodynamic law: information is not abstract but physically embodied. Later developments in statistical mechanics and quantum information have extended this insight, demonstrating that every informational transformation has energetic implications. For epistemology, the implication is clear: processes such as learning, verifying, and refuting are not cost-free but bound by physical constraints. The analogy between refutation and information erasure is particularly striking: both involve reducing entropy by eliminating possibilities.

2.5 Misinformation, disinformation, and the post-truth condition

The rise of digital platforms has transformed the ecology of information. Scholars have documented how misinformation spreads faster and deeper than corrections (Lewandowsky, Ecker, & Cook, 2017). Wardle and Derakhshan (2017) distinguish between misinformation (false information spread without intent), disinformation (false information spread deliberately), and malinformation (true information spread maliciously). These distinctions emphasize that epistemic asymmetry is not merely cognitive but socio-technical: platform architectures, attention dynamics, and algorithmic amplification all shape the circulation of truth and falsehood. Empirical work confirms that falsehoods often exploit high-mobility environments, propagating quickly across networks, while corrections lag behind (Bessi & Ferrara, 2016). This asymmetry resonates with Brandolini's observation but situates it within a broader context of structural vulnerabilities in the information ecosystem.

2.6 Complexity, rare events, and epistemic resilience

The literature on complexity and uncertainty further contextualizes epistemic asymmetry. Taleb (2007), in his work on Black Swan events, underscores how improbable yet impactful events can dominate epistemic landscapes. The difficulty of refutation parallels the difficulty of anticipating rare but disruptive occurrences: both reveal the fragility of epistemic systems. Moreover, models from network science suggest that local reinforcement—when claims cite and recirculate one another within closed communities—creates self-sustaining clusters of belief. These "epistemic bubbles" or "echo chambers" exhibit resilience not because their claims are strong but because their structure insulates them from correction. In thermodynamic terms, these are low-temperature environments: informational mobility is low, so once noise becomes entrenched, restoring order demands disproportionate energy.

2.7 Toward a unified framework

Despite these converging literatures, no unified model currently integrates Brandolini's asymmetry, parsimony, and the thermodynamics of information. The philosophy of information provides the ontological tools, Landauer's principle provides the physical grounding, and studies of misinformation highlight the empirical stakes. Yet these strands remain disconnected. This paper aims to bridge them by treating misinformation as noise (high entropy), refutation as information (low entropy), and parsimony as the minimization of free energy. In this view, Brandolini's law is not merely a social observation but a manifestation of fundamental informational asymmetries. By articulating this framework, we aim to contribute to both the philosophy of science and the interdisciplinary study of information in the post-truth era.

3. Theoretical Framework

The thermodynamic vocabulary used in this framework—entropy, free energy, and informational temperature—is intended as a *formal analogy* that maps structural properties of epistemic systems onto well-understood thermodynamic relations. These terms do not refer to physical heat dissipation or literal thermodynamic processes in belief revision. Rather, they capture how hypothesis spaces expand or contract, how information circulates, and how epistemic constraints behave in ways that parallel thermodynamic principles.

This analogy has clear boundaries. Real epistemic processes involve cognitive biases, social structures, platform dynamics, and strategic communication, none of which follow idealized thermodynamic behavior. Entropy and temperature here represent constraints on information flow and hypothesis multiplicity, not physical quantities. The framework therefore offers a conceptual model—useful for understanding epistemic asymmetries—but not a literal physical description of human reasoning.

3.1 Noise, information, and entropy

In informational terms, we can distinguish between two kinds of epistemic states:

- **Noise**: claims or signals that enlarge the set of possible descriptions without constraining them toward truth. Noise increases entropy because it multiplies the number of states compatible with a given situation. Let the multiplicity of states under noise be denoted by Ω_{noise}.
- **Information**: claims or signals that restrict the set of possibilities, reducing entropy and converging toward truth. Information corresponds to a smaller set of compatible states, $\Omega_{\text{info}} \ll \Omega_{\text{noise}}$.

Formally, entropy is given by the Boltzmann relation:

$$S = k\ln(\Omega)\,,$$

where k is Boltzmann's constant (or its informational analogue).
The transition from noise to information thus involves an entropy change:

$$\Delta S = S_{\text{info}} - S_{\text{noise}} = k\ln\left(\frac{\Omega_{\text{info}}}{\Omega_{\text{noise}}}\right) < 0$$

3.2 Free energy and the cost of refutation

At constant temperature, the Helmholtz free energy is defined as:

$$F = U - TS\,,$$

where U is internal energy and T is temperature.
Moving from noise to information corresponds to reducing entropy, and therefore raising the free energy of the system:

$$\Delta F = -T\Delta S = kT\ln\left(\frac{\Omega_{\text{info}}}{\Omega_{\text{noise}}}\right).$$

By the second law of thermodynamics, the minimum work ($W_{\min}$) required to perform this transformation is:

$$W_{\min} \geq \Delta F.$$

This inequality expresses the energetic asymmetry between generating noise and producing information: the former may require negligible cost, while the latter demands work proportional to the reduction in entropy.

3.3 Informational temperature

The parameter T can be reinterpreted in epistemic terms as **informational temperature**. Analogous to physical temperature, which measures the ease with which energy produces entropy, informational temperature measures the ease with which information circulates within a system.

- **High T:** information flows rapidly. Corrections spread widely, reducing the asymmetry between noise and information.
- **Low T:** information is trapped in niches or echo chambers. Corrections diffuse poorly, amplifying the asymmetry.

This interpretation aligns with studies of social media and epistemic bubbles: high-mobility environments facilitate fact-checking, while low-mobility niches preserve misinformation.

3.4 Generalized Brandolini Principle

The transition from noise to information involves reducing the multiplicity of admissible states from $\Omega_{\text{noise}}$to Ω_{info}. The entropy change associated with this transition is

$$\Delta S = \text{k}\ln(\Omega_{\text{noise}}) - \text{k}\ln(\Omega_{\text{info}}).$$

The minimum work required to perform this entropy-reducing transformation at informational temperature $T_{\text{info}}$is

$$W_{min} \geq kT_{\text{info}} ln\left(\frac{\Omega_{info}}{\Omega_{noise}}\right).$$

Definitions:

- Ω_{noise}: multiplicity of states compatible with the noisy claim.
- Ω_{info}: multiplicity of states compatible with the informative claim.
- T_{info}: informational temperature, i.e., the degree of epistemic mobility or ease of information flow.
- k: Boltzmann constant or its informational analogue.
- W_{min}: minimum work required to convert noise into information.

Generalized Brandolini Principle: The minimum work required to transform noise into information is proportional to the logarithm of the ratio of noise states to information states, scaled by the informational temperature of the system.

This principle formalizes Brandolini's law: the asymmetry between producing noise and refuting it is not accidental but follows from fundamental constraints on entropy and free energy.

3.5 Connection to Landauer's bound

The expression above is directly analogous to Landauer's principle in computation, which states that erasing one bit of information requires at least $kTln(2)$ of energy. Refutation, like erasure,

eliminates possibilities by compressing the hypothesis space. Each bit of evidence corresponds to a unit of entropy reduction, with an associated energetic cost.
Thus, Brandolini's law can be interpreted as a social-scale manifestation of Landauer's bound: while producing falsehoods may be entropically cheap, refuting them incurs the universal cost of informational erasure.

4. Model of Interacting News

4.1 From isolated claims to interacting systems

The generalized Brandolini principle, as formulated above, addresses the energetic cost of transforming a single noisy claim into ordered information. Yet in real epistemic environments, claims rarely exist in isolation. News items, scientific hypotheses, and social assertions interact with one another, forming networks of mutual support or contradiction. To capture this, we require a framework that treats misinformation not as isolated noise, but as part of a dynamic system of interacting informational states.

4.2 Network representation

Let us represent the information environment as a graph $G = (V, E)$, where each node $i \in V$ corresponds to a news item or claim, and each edge $(i, j) \in E$ represents a relation of citation, endorsement, or propagation between claims. Each node can be assigned a state variable s_i, with $s_i = +1$ indicating veridical (information-bearing) content and $s_i = -1$ indicating noisy or false content. This binary representation can be generalized to continuous or categorical states, but it suffices for illustrating the basic dynamics.

4.3 Interaction energy

Analogous to interacting spins in statistical mechanics, we define an energy function for the system:

$$E(s) = \sum_i h_i s_i + \sum_{(i,j)\in E} J_{ij} \Phi(s_i, s_j).$$

- The term h_i represents the external evidential field acting on claim i. A strong empirical basis corresponds to $h_i > 0$, pushing the claim toward truth.
- The couplings J_{ij} represent the strength of relation between claims i and j.
- The interaction potential $\Phi(s_i, s_j)$ captures epistemic coherence. Truthful claims that cite one another lower the system's energy, while false claims that cite each other without external support increase it.

This asymmetry prevents the system from rewarding mere mutual reinforcement among falsehoods, reflecting the epistemic intuition that "two wrongs do not make a right."

4.4 Clusters of misinformation

When multiple false claims cite one another, they may form clusters that appear coherent within their subgraph, despite lacking external evidential support. These correspond to "epistemic bubbles" or "echo chambers." In our framework, such clusters do not stabilize the system; instead, they raise its energy due to penalization terms for closed loops of mutual reinforcement.

Formally, cycles composed entirely of false nodes contribute an additional cost:

$$\mathcal{R}_{loops} = \lambda \sum_{\mathcal{C}} 1\{s_k = -1 \ \forall k \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

where the sum runs over cycles $\mathcal{C}$ in the graph and $\lambda > 0$ scales the penalty. This ensures that misinformation cannot reduce free energy merely by accumulating circular endorsements.

Worked Example (Three-Node Network)

Consider a simple network with three nodes: A, B, and C. Nodes A and B are false claims that cite each other, forming a closed loop, while node C is a true claim supported by strong external evidence. The A–B loop increases the system's energy because it constitutes circular reinforcement without empirical grounding. When C connects to either A or B, the external evidential field $h_C \gg 0$ lowers the overall free energy, illustrating how anchoring misinformation to strongly supported evidence dissolves high-energy misinformation clusters.

4.5 Informational temperature and diffusion

The dynamics of the system can be described probabilistically using a Boltzmann distribution:

$$P(s) \propto exp\left(\frac{-E(s)}{kT}\right).$$

Here, the informational temperature T again controls mobility:

- At high T, states fluctuate easily, allowing corrections to spread and preventing false clusters from persisting.
- At low T, dynamics are sluggish: once a false cluster forms, it tends to remain, requiring disproportionate external work to dissolve.

This formalism captures the empirical observation that misinformation is particularly resilient in closed, low-mobility epistemic environments, such as niche pseudoscientific communities.

In empirical contexts, informational temperature can be approximated using observable quantities such as exposure diversity, cross-community mobility, platform mixing rates, or the frequency of links between otherwise disconnected subgroups. These metrics provide operational proxies for estimating how easily corrective information can circulate within a given epistemic environment.

4.6 Implications of the model

The model highlights three key points:

1. **Asymmetry is systemic.** The difficulty of refuting misinformation is not just a property of individual claims but of their interactions in a network.
2. **Clusters amplify asymmetry.** When false claims reinforce one another, the energetic penalty of restoring parsimony increases superlinearly, as entire clusters must be dismantled.
3. **Temperature determines resilience.** High informational temperature facilitates refutation, while low temperature exacerbates Brandolini's asymmetry, explaining why niche pseudosciences are disproportionately difficult to dislodge.

5. Connection with Parsimony

5.1 Parsimony as an epistemic principle

Occam's Razor, or the principle of parsimony, is one of the oldest and most influential heuristics in scientific reasoning. It prescribes that, among competing explanations, the one with fewer assumptions should be preferred. Philosophers of science have debated whether parsimony is a merely pragmatic guideline or whether it has deeper ontological significance (Frigg & Nguyen, 2020). From an informational perspective, parsimony can be understood as the minimization of redundancy in the hypothesis space: simpler models are those that restrict the number of admissible states while retaining explanatory adequacy.

5.2 Parsimony as free energy minimization

The framework developed here allows us to reinterpret parsimony in terms of free energy. Recall that:

$$F = U - TS,$$

where U is internal energy (or evidential cost), S is entropy (or hypothesis multiplicity), and T is informational temperature. Minimizing F corresponds to choosing models that balance explanatory adequacy (U) with economy of assumptions (S). Parsimonious explanations are those that achieve the lowest free energy: they explain the data without inflating the hypothesis space unnecessarily.

5.3 Noise as the violation of parsimony

Misinformation and epistemic noise can now be seen as violations of the parsimony principle. False or unsubstantiated claims expand the set of possible states (Ω_{noise}), thereby raising entropy and lowering free energy artificially. They appear cheap because they bypass evidential costs, but in doing so they break the economy of inquiry. This explains the intuitive appeal of falsehoods: they offer low free energy states in the short term, but only by bloating entropy at the expense of parsimony.

5.4 Refutation as the restoration of parsimony

To refute a false claim is to compress the inflated hypothesis space back to a parsimonious subset. This requires reducing entropy, thereby increasing free energy:

$$\Delta F = kT\ln\left(\frac{\Omega_{\text{noise}}}{\Omega_{\text{info}}}\right) > 0$$

The cost of refutation is thus precisely the cost of restoring parsimony after it has been violated. Brandolini's asymmetry follows as a corollary: once the economy of inquiry has been disrupted by noise, the system must pay a disproportionate energetic penalty to recover it.

5.5 Epistemological implications

This reinterpretation carries several implications:

1. **Parsimony is not merely aesthetic.** It corresponds to a real reduction of free energy, grounding Occam's Razor in thermodynamic terms.

2. **Brandolini's asymmetry is the cost of non-parsimony.** The greater the departure from parsimonious modeling, the greater the energetic penalty required to restore it.

3. **Science as free energy economy.** Scientific practice can be viewed as a process of continually minimizing free energy by balancing evidence with simplicity, while resisting the entropy-inflating effects of misinformation.

In this light, the principle of parsimony and the generalized Brandolini principle are not independent heuristics but manifestations of the same informational dynamics. Parsimony prescribes the path of minimal free energy, while Brandolini's law describes the cost of returning to that path once it has been abandoned.

6. Discussion

6.1 From abstract principle to epistemic practice

The generalized Brandolini principle formalizes the asymmetry between producing misinformation and refuting it. Yet its significance lies not only in the mathematical expression $\Delta F = kT\ln(\Omega_{\text{noise}}/\Omega_{\text{info}})$, but in its capacity to illuminate practical challenges of epistemology in the digital age. By situating Brandolini's law within the framework of free energy, we can reinterpret familiar phenomena—pseudoscience, conspiracy theories, echo chambers—as predictable outcomes of informational thermodynamics.

6.2 Viral falsehoods versus niche pseudoscience

A striking implication of the model concerns the relative resilience of different kinds of falsehoods. Viral misinformation spreads rapidly across high-mobility environments, where informational temperature T is high. In such contexts, false claims may initially diffuse widely, but they can also be corrected more efficiently, as fact-checks and counter-evidence circulate with comparable speed.

By contrast, niche pseudosciences and insulated epistemic bubbles operate at low informational temperature. Here, the mobility of information is limited: once noise infiltrates the system, it persists, because corrections cannot propagate effectively. According to the generalized Brandolini principle, the cost of refutation diverges as $T \to 0$. Thus, the most persistent and dangerous forms of misinformation are not necessarily the most viral, but those embedded in low-mobility niches where entropy can accumulate unchecked.

6.3 Clusters, loops, and epistemic bubbles

The network model of interacting news reinforces this insight. False claims that cite one another form clusters that, within their subgraph, appear coherent. To external observers, however, these clusters increase systemic energy: circular reinforcement without external validation constitutes epistemic redundancy rather than epistemic strength. Penalization of loops in the model mirrors the intuition that self-referential citation does not provide genuine evidence. This resonates with studies of echo chambers in online networks (Bessi & Ferrara, 2016) and with philosophical accounts of epistemic injustice: closed communities can sustain internally coherent but externally false worldviews.

6.4 Parsimony as epistemic economy

The connection with parsimony underscores the normative stakes. Parsimonious models minimize free energy by compressing the hypothesis space without sacrificing explanatory adequacy. Misinformation violates this economy by inflating entropy cheaply, creating the illusion of explanatory simplicity while actually destabilizing the epistemic system. Refutation, then, is the costly work of restoring parsimony. This reframing positions Occam's Razor not as an aesthetic preference but as an energetic necessity: scientific communities that consistently violate parsimony pay higher costs in correcting themselves.

6.5 Implications for fact-checking and governance

The framework also sheds light on contemporary debates about fact-checking and platform governance. Fact-checking can be understood as the systematic expenditure of free energy to compress inflated hypothesis spaces. Its effectiveness depends on the informational temperature of the environment: in high-T contexts, corrections can spread efficiently, while in low-T contexts, fact-checking struggles to overcome the inertia of insulated communities. This suggests that interventions should not only produce corrections but also raise informational temperature—by increasing exposure, diversifying sources, and lowering barriers to information flow.

6.6 Broader epistemological lessons

At a broader level, the analysis suggests that epistemology itself can be reframed in informational thermodynamic terms. Knowledge production is a continual negotiation between entropy and evidence, between noise and parsimony. Misinformation reveals the fragility of this negotiation: small injections of noise can disproportionately increase the energetic cost of inquiry.

Brandolini's law, when formalized, is not an idiosyncratic social observation but a manifestation of the fundamental asymmetry between disorder and order, entropy and information, that governs both physical and epistemic systems.

While Landauer's principle has long established the energetic cost of information erasure in computation, and while parsimony has been linked to notions of complexity minimization in Bayesian and cognitive models (Friston, 2010), no existing work has explicitly connected these principles to Brandolini's asymmetry. Likewise, studies of misinformation diffusion have applied network models inspired by epidemiology or statistical mechanics, but they lack an explicit term penalizing circular reinforcement among false claims. The present framework thus integrates previously disparate literatures—thermodynamics of information, epistemology of parsimony, and network models of misinformation—into a single account. By doing so, it establishes a novel theoretical bridge between physical principles and epistemic dynamics.

6.7 Limitations and future directions

It is important to emphasize that the framework presented here is not intended as a closed or fully predictive model but as a theoretical program. The analogies with thermodynamics and statistical mechanics are meant to formalize epistemic asymmetries at a conceptual level, rather than to provide empirical quantification in their current form. In this sense, the generalized Brandolini principle and the notion of informational temperature should be understood as tools for guiding further inquiry. Future research may test these ideas by applying them to empirical datasets of misinformation diffusion, simulating epistemic networks with varying informational mobility, or integrating them with cognitive models of belief revision. The contribution of this paper lies in opening a new perspective—what may be called a *thermodynamic epistemology*—that connects long-standing principles such as parsimony and Brandolini's asymmetry with the physics of information.

7. Conclusion

This paper has developed a unified framework that formalizes Brandolini's law—the asymmetry between the ease of producing misinformation and the difficulty of refuting it—using concepts from the thermodynamics of information. By modeling misinformation as noise (high entropy), refutation as information (low entropy), and parsimony as the minimization of free energy, we have transformed what was once a rhetorical observation into a theoretically grounded principle. The generalized Brandolini principle, expressed as

$$W_{\min} \geq kT\ln\left(\frac{\Omega_{\text{noise}}}{\Omega_{\text{info}}}\right),$$

demonstrates that the energetic cost of transforming noise into information is proportional to the reduction in hypothesis space, scaled by the informational temperature of the system. This relation parallels Landauer's principle in computation, where erasing information incurs an irreducible energetic cost. The analogy underscores that epistemic processes—such as verifying, correcting, and refuting—are not free, but bound by fundamental informational constraints.

The network model of interacting news extended this framework to social contexts, showing how clusters of false claims can reinforce one another within epistemic bubbles. These clusters raise systemic energy rather than lower it, reflecting the epistemic penalty of circular support. The concept of informational temperature clarified why viral misinformation, though disruptive, may be easier to correct in high-mobility environments, whereas niche pseudosciences and insulated communities are disproportionately resilient. In low-temperature epistemic environments, Brandolini's asymmetry is amplified: refutation requires disproportionately more work, often approaching practical impossibility.

The connection to the principle of parsimony highlights the normative dimension of this analysis. Parsimony is not merely an aesthetic guideline but the epistemic strategy of minimizing free energy. Noise violates parsimony by inflating entropy, while refutation is the costly work of restoring it. Scientific practice can therefore be interpreted as a continual struggle to maintain parsimonious, low-entropy representations against the constant influx of noise.

By formalizing Brandolini's law in this way, we contribute to both the philosophy of science and the interdisciplinary study of information in the digital age. The framework provides not only a conceptual bridge between thermodynamics, information theory, and epistemology but also practical insights into fact-checking, governance of digital platforms, and the resilience of scientific inquiry.

Future work could extend this approach by applying the model to empirical datasets of misinformation diffusion, simulating epistemic networks under varying informational temperatures, and testing interventions designed to increase informational mobility. Such extensions would deepen the bridge between abstract principles and concrete epistemic practice.

In conclusion, the generalized Brandolini principle reveals that the asymmetry between noise and information is not accidental but rooted in the fundamental dynamics of entropy and free energy. Parsimony emerges as the path of minimal free energy, while Brandolini's law describes the energetic penalty for departing from it. Together, they articulate a thermodynamic epistemology: an account of knowledge in which the economy of inquiry is inseparable from the physics of information.

Beyond its immediate implications, the framework proposed here may inaugurate a new line of inquiry that could be termed *thermodynamic epistemology*: the study of knowledge processes through the lens of informational entropy, free energy, and energetic costs. Such an approach suggests that epistemology is not only normative and descriptive, but also subject to constraints rooted in the physics of information. Future research in this emerging field may extend the present model to empirical data on misinformation diffusion, test interventions aimed at altering informational temperature, and explore the broader consequences of treating epistemic practices as free energy economies. In this sense, the generalized Brandolini principle is not the end of an argument but the beginning of a research program.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the reviewers for their constructive comments and acknowledges institutional support from the University of Santiago, Chile.

References

[1] D. Bawden and L. Robinson. Information and the illusion of knowledge. *Journal of Documentation*, 76(3), 629-641. 2020. doi: 10.1108/JD-12-2019-0221.

[2] A. Bessi and E. Ferrara. Social bots distort the 2016 US Presidential election online discussion. *First Monday*, 21(11). 2016. doi: 10.5210/fm.v21i11.7090.

[3] L. Floridi. *The Philosophy of Information*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 2011.

[4] R. Frigg and J. Nguyen. *Modelling Nature: An Opinionated Introduction to Scientific Representation*. Cham: Springer. 2020.

[5] R. Landauer. Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 5(3), 183-191. 1961. doi: 10.1147/rd.53.0183.

[6] S. Lewandowsky, U.K.H. Ecker and J. Cook. Beyond misinformation: Understanding and coping with the "post-truth" era. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 6(4), 353-369. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.jarmac.2017.07.008.

[7] D. Orrell. *Quantum Economics: The New Science of Money*. London: Icon Books. 2020.

[8] N.N. Taleb. *The Black Swan: The Impact of the Highly Improbable*. New York: Random House. 2007.

[9] C. Wardle and H. Derakhshan. *Information Disorder: Toward an Interdisciplinary Framework for Research and Policy Making*. Strasbourg: Council of Europe. 2017.

[10] S. Zuboff. *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*. New York: PublicAffairs. 2019.